



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6717
Title of the Manuscript:	Intelligent Ultrasonic Power Supply based on HPWM
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It would help if the operation of the inverter is described in terms of modes of operation so that the operation can be clearer to the reader. Please rephrase the sentence which refers to the PID controller as the 'law' in electronics to a more suitable sentence which describes PID controller.	1. Actually, the operation of this circuit can be briefly described with six operation modes. I have made a description in my article. 2. I will describe the PID controller more clearly and use more suitable sentence. Thanks for your comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some sentences need to be changed to be in third person form, and not instruction-like.	As you said, some sentences need to be revised. If there are still some problems, please propose timely. Thanks! Thanks for your comment.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, paper is a good description of an experimental work.	Thank you for your confirmation. Thank you for your comment.